

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT  
**OFFICER'S REPORT: HOMICIDE**

Event #: LLV240400029029

**Homicide/Suicide**

SUBJECT

Division Reporting: Investigative Services Division-Homicide	Division of Occurrence: Community Policing Division – Summerlin Area Command
Date & Time Occurred: April 8, 2024 @ 1004 Hours	Location of Occurrence: 10801 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite #560, Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 – City National Bank / DPLG- Prince Law Group

**VICTIM:**

DENNIS PRINCE (Deceased)

DOB: PII /1967, SSN: PII

ADDRESS: PRVCY

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89134

ASHLEY LAUREN PRINCE (Deceased)

DOB: PII 1993, SSN: PII

ADDRESS: PRVCY

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89134

**SUSPECT:**

JOSEPH HOUSTON (Deceased)

DOB: PII 1947, SSN: PII

7922 BLUE VENICE COURT,  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89117

**WEAPONS:**

GLOCK 23 GEN 4  
40 CAL.  
SERIAL# BGBR931

**SYNOPSIS:**

On April 8, 2024, at 1004 hours, the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) received multiple 9-1-1 calls reference a shooting at 10801 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite #560, Las Vegas, Nevada 89135. Officers responded and located an unknown male and female unresponsive and another male suffering from an apparent gunshot wound to his head. Medical personnel responded and all three individuals were pronounced deceased.

Date of Report: 04/09/2024	Officer #1: C. Vensand	P#: 14072	Officer #2: A. Jenkins	P#: 13601
Approved By: (Signature) Sgt. J. Patton, P# 8289		Officer Signature:		

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT  
CONTINUATION

Event #: LLV240400029029

The LVMPD Homicide Section was notified and Sergeant Patton, along with Detectives Vensand, Jenkins, Muenzenmeyer, Nahum, Beal, and Solano responded to the scene.

**PERSONS AT SCENE:**

**LVMPD Officers**

1. Captain J. Lepore	PN 6260
2. Lieutenant D. Gordon	PN 3965
3. Lieutenant J. Riddle	PN 9306
4. Lieutenant R. Price	PN 7925
5. Lieutenant A. Cavaricci	PN 9024
6. Lieutenant R. Nakhla	PN 9665
7. Sergeant J. Johnson	PN 8546
8. Sergeant C. Dowler	PN 13730
9. Sergeant R. Backman	PN 6518
10. Sergeant T. Pandullo	PN 7884
11. Sergeant J. Legrow	PN 14106
12. Sergeant B. Rich	PN 14300
13. Sergeant G. Turner	PN 13518
14. Sergeant B. Dixon	PN 14105
15. Sergeant G. Findley	PN 8712
16. Sergeant G. Phenis	PN 8094
17. Sergeant J. Bernstein	PN 9045
18. Sergeant M. Harris	PN 9885
19. Detective D. Chard	PN 13456
20. Detective A. Mauntel	PN 15447
21. Detective J. Griffith	PN 14829
22. Detective B. Schnuelle	PN 14456
23. Detective D. Wedewer	PN 14110
24. Officer K. Tolliver	PN 19570
25. Officer T. Gili	PN 20024
26. Officer F. Draling	PN 16495
27. Officer J. Marriot	PN 16269
28. Officer C. Colon	PN 18288
29. Officer C. Basch	PN 17058
30. Officer C. Hafen	PN 17078
31. Officer R. Price	PN 17087
32. Officer D. Loupee	PN 17265
33. Officer Z. Christon	PN 17276
34. Officer B. Butin	PN 16509
35. Officer T. Barnes	PN 16710
36. Officer R. Hiestand	PN 15115
37. Officer C. Cozzens	PN 15778
38. Officer B. Roberts	PN 9080
39. Officer J. Rodriguez	PN 20136
40. Officer J. Galindez	PN 20143
41. Officer J. Fieweger	PN 16392

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT  
CONTINUATION

Event #: LLV240400029029

42. Officer B. Warren	PN 12893
43. Officer T. Butten	PN 20131
44. Officer C. Delatorre	PN 17205
45. Officer R. Raso	PN 17293
46. Officer G. Reyes	PN 17062
47. Officer J. Adams	PN 17155
48. Officer M. Garcia	PN 17166
49. Officer G. Heather	PN 17210
50. Officer R. Thorne	PN 15608
51. Officer S. Park	PN 16437
52. Officer D. Rinkovsky	PN 16932
53. Officer S. Maas	PN 13015
54. Officer J. Gutierrez	PN 16213
55. Officer K. Stokey	PN 18184
56. Officer J. Ozuna	PN 18229
57. Officer M. Rodriguez	PN 17015
58. Officer J. White	PN 17870
59. Officer J. Manasan	PN 17463
60. Officer U. Rios	PN 17377
61. Officer H. Black	PN 17764
62. Officer J. Nelson	PN 18024
63. Officer S. Garcia	PN 18011
64. Officer D. Kelly	PN 7413
65. Officer R. Hall	PN 10070
66. Officer D. Flamm	PN 14950
67. Officer M. Shorts	PN 17050
68. Officer J. Bryant	PN 15876
69. Officer G. Soto	PN 17460
70. Officer O. Landgrave	PN 17163
71. Officer J. Kikkert	PN 5741
72. <b>LEP</b> (NV Dept. of Safety)	
73. M. Frank	
74. Officer J. Rozell	PN 15123
75. Officer J. Corbett	PN 5781
76. Officer N. Bachman	PN 6222
77. Officer M. Marano	PN 14007
78. Officer K. Redmann	PN 6478
79. Officer L. Vidal	PN 14802
80. Officer W. Bridges	PN 15219
81. Officer T. Keller	PN 12750
82. Officer J. Mack	PN 19861
83. Officer S. Oscar	PN 14325
84. Officer J. Brown	PN 9182
85. Officer J. Collingwood	PN 9033
86. Officer Z. Adam	PN 9028
87. Officer T. Carrasco	PN 9038
88. Officer S. Donnell	PN 8787

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT  
**CONTINUATION**

Event #: LLV240400029029

89. Officer J. Ferrante	PN 13331
90. Officer J. Tafesh	PN 16244
91. Officer C. Oswald	PN 13936
92. Officer A. Goodrich	PN 9198
93. Officer M. Eshe	PN 14839
94. Officer S. McGill	PN 14716
95. Officer L. Vincent	PN 15329
96. Officer T. Chamber	PN 15476
97. Officer R. Bass	PN 14720
98. Officer N. Alexander	PN 14823
99. Officer R. Nord	PN 14889
100. Officer J. Pappas	PN 15112
101. Officer N. Workman	PN 15474
102. Officer	

**Homicide Section**

1. Assistant Sheriff S. Larkin	PN 6234
2. Deputy Chief D. Koren	PN 9007
3. Captain T. Hatchett	PN 8250
4. Lieutenant J. Johansson	PN 8282
5. Sergeant J. Patton	PN 8289
6. Sergeant I. Dicaro	PN 9005
7. Sergeant C. Kennedy	PN 8377
8. Detective A. Jenkins	PN13601
9. Detective C. Vensand	PN 14072
10. Detective B. Muenzenmeyer	PN 15362
11. Detective E. Nahum	PN 15484
12. Detective S. Giles	PN 14774
13. Detective J. Contreras	PN 14856
14. Detective M. Eddington	PN 14203
15. Detective D. Wise	PN 9838
16. Detective J. Gatus	PN 9868
17. Detective D. Colucci	PN 13379
18. Detective A. Hawkins	PN 13505
19. Detective J. Milligan	PN 9490
20. Detective R. Sioson	PN 10555

**Criminalistics**

1. H. Ubbens	C09	PN 24792
2. C. Browning	C12	PN 15291
3. A. Finn	C49	PN 19561
4. J. Purcell	C12	PN 15216
5. K. Grammas	CS1	PN 7808
6. M. McIntyre	CS6	PN 13207

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT  
CONTINUATION

Event #: LLV240400029029

**Medical Personnel**

**Clark County Engine 28**

1. L. Lofgreen
2. T. Anderson
3. J. Braddock
4. C. Usher

**Las Vegas Fire and Rescue 7**

1. Captain Gardea
2. A. Patai
3. M. Martin
4. M. Martinez (Paramedic)

**Digital Investigations Bureau**

1. Detective G. Gorski PN 7038
2. Andrew Krnjeu PN 9336

**Clark County Office of the Coroner and Medical Examiner**

1. Investigator Michelle Ortero  
Case# 2024-2502 (Ashley Prince)  
Case# 2024-2503 (Dennis Prince)  
Case# 2024-2504 (Joseph Houston)  
Seal# 006686  
Seal# 006424  
Seal# 08417  
TOD: April 8, 2024 @ 1810 hours

**Davis Mortuary**

1. N. Yi
2. R. Fox

**WITNESSES INTERVIEWED:**

1. Tania Patricio Monroy

DOB: PII 1998

Cell. Phone: PRVCY

**PRVCY**

2. Amy Larson Catherine Larsen

DOB: PII 1968

Cell. Phone: PRVCY

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT  
CONTINUATION

Event #: LLV240400029029

Address: PRVCY  
PRVCY

3. Rosa Abonce  
DOB: PII 1987  
Cell. Phone: PRVCY  
Address: PRVCY  
PRVCY

4. Julie Filiberti  
DOB: PII /1963  
SSN: PII  
Cell. Phone: PRVCY  
Address: PRVCY  
PRVCY  
(Court Reporter)

5. Katherine "Kelly" Houston  
DOB: PII 1962  
SSN: PII  
Address: 9722 Blue Venice Court  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117  
(Wife of Joseph Houston)

6. Lisa Rasmussen  
DOB: PII 1963  
Cell. Phone: PRVCY  
PRVCY  
Work Phone: 702-222-0007  
Address: The Law Offices of Kristina Wildeveld & Associates  
550 East Charleston Suite A  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89104  
(Counsel for Katherine Houston)

7. Amy Ebinger  
DOB: PII /1968  
SSN: PII  
Cell. Phone: PRVCY  
Address: PRVCY  
PRVCY

8. PRVCY  
DOB: PII 1976  
SSN: PII

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT  
CONTINUATION

Event #: LLV240400029029

Cell. Phone: PRVCY  
Address: PRVCY  
PRVCY

9. Kevin Strong  
DOB: PII /1983  
SSN: PII  
Cell. Phone: PRVCY  
Address: PRVCY  
PRVCY

10. Dylan Houston  
DOB: PII /1989  
SSN: PII  
Cell. Phone: PRVCY  
Address: PRVCY  
PRVCY  
(Joseph Houston's son)

11. Anthony De Martino  
DOB: PII /1975  
SSN: PII  
Cell. Phone: PRVCY  
Address: PRVCY PRVCY  
PRVCY  
(Dylan Houston's Attorney)

12. PRVCY  
DOB: PII -1976  
SSN: PII  
Cell. Phone: PRVCY  
Address: PRVCY

13. Shannon Marie Wilson  
DOB: PII -1989  
SSN: PII  
Address: PRVCY  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135  
Cell. Phone: PRVCY  
PRVCY  
Witness & Council for Ashley Prince

## **INCIDENT OVERVIEW:**

On April 8, 2024, at 1004 hours, the LVMPD received multiple 9-1-1 calls reporting a shooting at 10801 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite #560, Las Vegas, Nevada 89135. The callers stated that there had been a shooting in a conference room during a deposition proceeding.

On April 8, 2024, at 1008 hours, officers arrived, and entry was made to the building. Officers made their way to the fifth floor and entered suite #560. Directly north of suite #560's doors officers observed a conference room that had a glass wall on the south side of the conference room, allowing officers a clear vantage into the room.

Officers located a male and female, who were later identified as Ashley Prince and Dennis Prince, lying on the floor of the conference room, unresponsive, suffering from apparent gunshot wounds. Joseph Houston was found by officers sitting in a chair in the conference room with an apparent gunshot wound to his head.

Once the scene was safe, medical personnel made entry and attempted life saving measures on Ashley, Dennis, and Joseph but they all had succumbed to their injuries.

### **Notification of Homicide Detectives**

On April 8, 2024, at approximately 1059 hours, Lieutenant Johansson was notified of the incident and responded to 10801 West Charleston Boulevard, along with Sergeant Patton, Sergeant Kennedy, and Detectives Vensand, Jenkins, Beal, Muenzenmeyer, Nahum, Solano, Giles, Contreras, Eddington, Wise, Gatus, Colucci, Hawkins, Milligan, and Sioson.

Once all Homicide Detectives arrives, Lieutenant Johansson provided a briefing and gave all available information as to what occurred prior to the arrival of Homicide Detectives, to include potential witness names, as well as details of the incident known at the time.

Before searching and processing the crime scene, Sergeant J. Patton obtained a search warrant for 10801 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite #560, which was approved by the honorable Judge Jacqueline Bluth.

## **CRIME SCENE INVESTIGATION**

### **Description of Scene and Visible Evidence**

The crime scene analysts (CSA), and Detective Vensand examined the crime scene for evidence. The CSA documented the crime scene and any evidence with digital photographs and a crime scene diagram. CSA Loretz recovered and impounded any available evidence, and CSA Herring documented the scene.

The crime scene was located on the fifth floor of the City National Bank Building located at 10801 W. Charleston Boulevard. On the fifth floor, the crime scene was inside the "Prince Law Group" office in Suite #560. The City National Bank Building was a multi-level, multi-suite business building. Suite #560 was accessible via a south facing double door set that opened to a central lobby area. The lobby area consisted of a reception desk along the west wall, a sitting area along the east wall, and additional

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT  
CONTINUATION

Event #: LLV240400029029

chairs along the south wall. A conference room was along the north wall, and doors along the east and west walls accessing additional offices, storage, and other workspaces.

The south wall of the conference room consisted of floor to ceiling glass panes separating the space from the lobby area, with a glass double door accessing the room. The north wall of the conference room consisted of floor to ceiling windows. The room was furnished with a central table (east/west orientation), lower cabinets/counter space along the east northwest corner, and nine chairs around the periphery of the table/room.

The following items were located throughout the central tabletop: multiple binders, paper documents, notepads, beverage bottles/cans, two open laptops (**Detective Impound**), a tablet device, a briefcase bag with a tag bearing the name "Joseph W. Houston II Bar No. 1440" (**Detective Impound**), a cartridge with headstamp "R P 40 S&W" (**Item 27**) and one empty Glock firearm magazine (**Item 22**) adjacent to the briefcase bag, one bullet fragment (**Item 16**), a pink purse, two recording devices (**Detective Impound**), and an open laptop attached to a stenograph machine (**Detective Impound**).

Eleven cartridge cases with headstamp "R P 40 S&W" were located throughout the floor of the conference room in the following locations: along the west wall (**Item 1**), in the southeast corner (**Item 2-3**), adjacent to the east cabinets (**Item 4-5**), under the north portion of the table (**Item 6**), along the north wall/windows (**Item 7-8**), in the northwest corner (**Item 9-10**), inside the plater pot at the northwest corner (**Item 11**).

Four bullet fragments were located throughout the floor of the conference room in the following locations: the southwest corner (**Item 13-14**), under the chair adjacent to the southeast corner of the table (**Item 15**), and at the north side of the table (**Item 17**).

A suitcase, bag, medical debris, and a prescription pill bottle were located on the floor at the southwest portion of the room. Biohazard fluids and materials to include apparent blood and **PRVCY** were located throughout the room to include the items throughout the tabletop, the counterspace, the television, the north wall window coverings, the ceiling, the floor, and the south glass walls.

A bullet impact was located in the east conference room wall, adjacent to the north edge of the television. A perforating bullet hole was located in the lower westmost windowpane of the north conference room wall.

Swabs of DNA from the firearm (**Item 28**), the magazine (**Item 29**), and the empty magazine (**Item 30**) were taken and impounded.

## LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION OF THE BODIES

### Ashley Prince

Ashley Prince was located adjacent to the west portion of the conference room.

**PRVCY**

**PRVCY**

**PRVCY** Ashley was clothed in a black bodysuit, jean pants, and tan shoes. Ashley had multiple apparent gunshot wounds and signs of medical intervention upon her body.

**Dennis Prince**

Dennis Prince was located adjacent to Ashely Prince (east of) in the northwest portion of the conference room. Dennis was [REDACTED]

PRVCY

PRVCY

(Item 19) [REDACTED] PRVCY Dennis was clothed in a business suit, button up dress shirt, and brown shoes. Dennis had multiple apparent gunshot wounds and signs of medical intervention upon his body.

**Joseph Houston**

Joseph Houston was seated in the east most chair on the north side of the conference table. Joseph was [REDACTED]

PRVCY

A black .40 caliber Glock semi-automatic firearm (Item 23) was located on the left side of his lap, adjacent to his left hand. The slide of the firearm was forward with a cartridge bearing headstamp "R P 40 S&W" was in the chamber. A Glock thirteen capacity firearm magazine (Item 24) containing eight cartridges with headstamp "R P 40 S&W" (Item 26) was inserted.

**AUTOPSY**

**Ashley Prince**

**Persons in Attendance**

1. Medical Examiner Doctor Stacey Simons
2. Forensic Technician Jeanine Rashbaum
3. Processing Technician Suzanne Miele
4. Processing Technician Annie Triplett
5. CSA Ubbens PN 14792
6. Detective Vensand
7. Detective Jenkins

**Location of Injuries**

See autopsy report for details.

**Items of Evidence**

1. One buccal swab kit
2. Two tan and black "Chanel" size 37 ½ ballet flat shoes
3. One pair of "Lagence" size 24 jeans with a black belt with a yellow metal buckle, bearing apparent blood
4. Tan, unknown make, unknown size bra with holes and bearing apparent blood
5. One black "SKIMS" size XS thong underwear bearing apparent blood
6. One black long-sleeved "alice and olivia" size XS/TP body suit with holes bearing apparent blood
7. One bullet and jacket (washed)

8. One fragment (washed)
9. One bullet (washed)
10. One bullet, labeled "Right neck" (washed)

**Results of Autopsy**

On April 9, 2024, a complete autopsy was performed on the body of Ashley Prince. Doctor Simons opined the cause of death was multiple gunshot wounds and the manner of death a homicide.

**Dennis Prince**

**Persons in Attendance**

1. Medical Examiner Doctor Stacey Simons
2. Forensic Technician Jeanine Rashbaum
3. Processing Technician Suzanne Miele
4. Processing Technician Annie Triplett
5. CSA A. Jasso PN 19531
6. Detective Vensand
7. Detective Jenkins

**Location of Injuries**

See autopsy report for details.

**Items of Evidence**

1. Buccal swab kit
2. One pair of brown "TOD'S" mens 10 ½ shoes
3. One pair of brown "Vigo ZC" size 54 pants bearing apparent blood
4. One blue/white "ETON" size 43 17 shirt bearing apparent blood and defects
5. One brown "SUITSUPPLY" suit jacket bearing apparent blood and defects
6. One bullet (washed)
7. One bullet (washed)
8. One bullet (washed)
9. Two bullet fragments (washed)
10. One bullet (washed)
11. One bullet (washed)
12. One bullet fragment (washed)
13. One bullet fragment (washed)
14. Several bullet fragments (washed)

**Results of Autopsy**

On April 9, 2024, a complete autopsy was performed on the body of Dennis Prince. Doctor Simons opined the cause of death was multiple gunshot wounds and the manner of death a homicide.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT  
CONTINUATION

Event #: LLV240400029029

**Joseph Houston**

**Persons in Attendance**

1. Medical Examiner Doctor Simons
2. Forensic Technician Jeanine Rashbaum
3. Processing Technician Suzanne Miele
4. Processing Technician Annie Triplett
5. CSA Ubbens
6. Detective Vensand
7. Detective Jenkins

PN 14792

**Location of Injuries**

See autopsy report for details.

**Items of Evidence**

1. One buccal swab kit
2. Two black "ecco" size 45 shoes
3. Two black socks
4. One pair of blue "HANES" unknown size boxer briefs, bearing apparent blood
5. One checkered "QUIETI" unknown size button down shirt, bearing apparent blood
6. One pair of black "LEVIS" size W38 L32 pants with a black braided belt with a white metal buckle, bearing apparent blood

**Results of Autopsy**

On April 9, 2024, a complete autopsy was performed on the body of Joseph Houston. Doctor Simons opined the cause of death was a gunshot wound and the manner of death a suicide.

**FOLLOW UP INVESTIGATION**

*The synopsis of witness interviews were created by detectives conducting the interviews; the substance of which was learned during contact, interview and/or from the statement of the witness.*

**Interview with Lisa Rasmussen**

On April 8, 2024, Detectives Muenzenmeyer and Solano conducted a recorded interview with witness, Lisa Rasmussen, in an unmarked LVMPD vehicle south of City National Bank on West Griffith Peak Drive. Lisa related the following:

Lisa is an attorney with the Law Offices of Kristina Wildeveld & Associates and was hired to represent Katherine Houston on her deposition for her son's custody dispute case. Katherine asked Lisa to represent her as her husband, Joseph Houston, was representing their son Dylan. Lisa described the case as a "nasty litigation" against Dylan's ex-wife Ashley Prince and her new husband/attorney Dennis Prince.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT  
CONTINUATION

Event #: LLV240400029029

Lisa described Dennis as “arrogant and a bully”. She wanted to speak with him separately before the deposition and planned to tell him to “take it down a notch” but he ignored her request. Lisa felt this case was never going to end due to the fact Ashley was being represented by her husband, and therefore not paying legal fees, and Dylan was being represented by his father and also not paying legal fees.

Recently, Joseph emailed Dennis requesting that Ashley not be present during Katherine’s deposition, stating during the first portion of the deposition last year, Ashley made Katherine uncomfortable by making faces and smirking during the process. Dennis denied the request and stated Ashley would be attending. Joseph had shared those emails with Lisa.

On the morning on April 8, 2024, Lisa arrived at Dennis’s law office around 0945 hours and waited for Katherine to arrive so they could walk in together. When they entered suite #560, they were asked to take a seat in the conference room. Lisa described the room had glass windows on one side overlooking Charleston, and a wall of glass windows on the interior side to see inside of the conference room, and an oval table in the center. Katherine, Lisa and Joseph took seats on the far side of the table, facing the door to the conference room. Not long after they sat down, Dennis entered and requested they switch sides because he “did not want his back to the door”. They repositioned to face the exterior windows, with Katherine closest to the door on the left, Lisa in the middle, and Joseph to the right. The court recorder sat at the end of the table closest to the door next to Katherine. Dennis, Ashley and another attorney named Shannon entered, sitting across from them. Dennis was positioned across from Katherine, Ashley in the middle across from Lisa and Shannon at the end across from Joseph.

Before the deposition began, Joseph asked Dennis “are we ever going to be able to resolve this case for the sake of the children?” Dennis ignored the question and provided no response. According to Lisa he instead started right in with questions for Katherine regarding her son’s **PRVCY** Lisa described that was another example of Dennis’s arrogance.

Lisa recalled approximately three or four questions were asked of Katherine, all regarding her son’s **PRVCY** then she heard a loud noise that was “disorienting”. Lisa was angled to her left toward Katherine during the questioning, so she looked to her right and saw the barrel of a handgun next to her. She observed Joseph stand from the table with the handgun in his right hand and point it across the table toward Dennis and Ashley. She was unsure whether Joseph was seated when the first shot was fired then stood up, or if he stood up then shot since it all happened so quickly. As Lisa looked across the table, she no longer saw Dennis or Ashley and believed they were already on the floor.

Lisa, Katherine and the court recorder immediately moved to the exit and into the hallway looking for help. As they ran down the hall checking doors to other offices, Lisa heard Katherine screaming “Oh my God, what is he doing? What is happening?” Lisa recalled she heard what she believed to be at least 3 gunshots while inside the conference room, then approximately 4 or 5 more as she was in the hallway running away.

Lisa and Katherine made their way to suite #520 (Supreme Lending) where they were assisted by an employee with calling for help. The employee at that office provided her cellphone to Lisa and Katherine who called Katherine’s daughter **PRVCY** Katherine told **PRVCY** “Your dad fired shots, and we don’t know if anyone is dead or alive.” **PRVCY** immediately began panicking so Lisa asked to speak with Katherine’s daughter-in-law **PRVCY** who was known to be calmer. Lisa told **PRVCY** “Joe pulled out a gun and started shooting” but did not know more details to

provide the family at the time. Lisa and Katherine stayed in suite #520 until police arrived. Lisa saw the court recorder and Shannon in the parking lot outside, but never observed Dennis, Ashley or Joseph leave the fifth floor.

According to Lisa, there were no words exchanged or arguments preceding the gunshots. They were only in the conference room for approximately 5 minutes, and only 3 or 4 questions had been asked before Joseph began to shoot.

*For a complete record, refer to Lisa Rasmussen's transcription.*

### **Interview with Tania Patricio-Monroy**

On April 8, 2024, at approximately 1206 hours, Homicide Detectives Contreras and Colucci conducted a recorded interview with Tania Patricio-Monroy. The interview took place inside of an unmarked LVMPD vehicle parked at 10801 West Charleston Boulevard Las Vegas, Nevada. The following is only a summary, for the interview in its entirety, refer to the audio recording.

Tania was a Legal Assistant who had worked for Prince Law Group at the 10801 West Charleston Boulevard location for approximately four years. On the morning of April 8, 2024, Tania was aware that a deposition was scheduled for 1000 hours that morning, she knew the appointment involved the "Houston" case and assisted setting up video screens in the meeting room that would be used for the deposition. Once she finished setting up the video screens, Tania sat at the office reception desk as her boss Dennis Prince did not like the reception area to be empty. Just before 1000 hours, Tania witnessed Joseph Houston, Katherine Houston, and an unknown female attorney (Lisa Rasmussen) arrived at the office and proceed into the meeting room. Tania recognized both Joseph and Katherine Houston from prior meetings that had taken place at the same office.

Tania described the reception desk she was seated at was positioned in front of the entry doors to the meeting room where the deposition was being held. The meeting room had floor to ceiling windows and doors on the entrance side, and so anyone could clearly see what was going on inside the room.

Approximately two to three minutes after the deposition started, Tania suddenly heard gunshots coming from the meeting room. Tania turned her head and looked into the meeting room where she witnessed Joseph Houston shooting a handgun approximately five times at Dennis Prince who was sitting across the table from him. Tania immediately ran towards the employee cubical area which was out of view of the meeting room. While running, Tania heard another series of gunshots. Tania alerted her co-workers that Dennis had just been killed, she and her coworkers ran and hid in a corner office which belonged to the office manager Lisa Lee, who was absent from work that day. Lisa's office was the only office that did not have a completely see through glass door or walls, so they hid inside and barricaded themselves. While inside the office, Tania heard another single gunshot. The group of employees waited in the office until police arrived and safely escorted them out of the building.

Tania knew the "Houston" case involved a child custody issue. She described that Joseph and Katherine Houston had an adult son named Dylan Houston. Dylan is the ex-husband of Ashley Houston, and Ashley is now married to the owner of Prince Law Group, Dennis Prince. Dennis and another attorney were representing Ashley in the custody dispute, and Joseph Houston who is also a lawyer was

representing his son, Dylan. Tania knew the case had become very emotional because in a prior deposition that occurred in October of 2023, Dennis was deposing one of Dylan's ex-girlfriends with Joseph also representing her and the meeting was over quickly due to a heated disagreement. Tania is also familiar with the fact that there were multiple angry emails and phone calls to Dennis, but she did not know of any particular threats that had been made. Tania does not believe there are any surveillance cameras in the office that would have captured the crime.

*For a complete record, refer to Tania Patricio-Monroy's transcription.*

### **Interview with Amy Catherine Larsen**

On April 8, 2024, at approximately 1239 hours, Homicide Detectives Contreras and Colucci conducted a recorded interview with Amy Catherine Larsen. The interview took place inside of an unmarked LVMPD vehicle parked at 10801 West Charleston Boulevard Las Vegas, Nevada. The following is only a summary, for the interview in its entirety, refer to the audio recording.

Amy is a Litigation Paralegal and has worked for Prince Law Group on two occasions, she previously left the company but returned in May of 2023. Amy has worked at the 10801 West Charleston Boulevard office since her return to Prince Law Group. On the morning of April 8, 2024, Amy was in the office working on her assigned cases, from looking at the business calendar, Amy knew there was a deposition scheduled for that morning at 1000 hours. The deposition involved a case she was not involved with, so she was not in the meeting. Amy was in her cubicle working, when she suddenly heard multiple bangs, she thought they could be gunshots, her co-worker Tania came running from the front area saying someone had killed Dennis. Amy and several colleagues ran to a back office that belonged to office manager Lisa Lee, who was absent from work that day. Amy and her colleagues hid inside the office and barricaded the door, she heard one or two more loud bangs while they hid. One of her co-workers called 9-1-1 to report what was going on. The group hid inside the room until police came to rescue them.

*For a complete record, refer to Amy Larsen's transcription.*

### **Interview with Rosa Abonce**

On April 8, 2024, at approximately 1307 hours, Homicide Detectives Contreras and Colucci conducted a recorded interview with Rosa Abonce. The interview took place inside of an unmarked LVMPD vehicle parked at 10801 West Charleston Boulevard Las Vegas, Nevada. The following is only a summary, for the interview in its entirety, refer to the audio recording.

Rosa is a Paralegal and has worked for Prince Law Group for a little over a year. On the morning of April 8, 2024, she and her co-worker Tania were helping set up the meeting room for a deposition that was scheduled for 1000 hours that morning. Once they finished setting up, Rosa went to her cubicle to work on her assigned cases. While working, Rosa suddenly heard a loud pop, and she saw Tania running saying they killed Dennis. Rosa heard more loud pops, and she ran with her co-workers into an office that belonged to Lisa. A colleague named Shanon entered the office after them and also was saying they

killed Dennis. Rosa heard more gun shots, the group barricaded themselves in the office and stayed in place until the police came and rescued them.

*For a complete record, refer to Rosa Abonce's transcription.*

### **Interview with Angela Lee**

On April 8, 2024, Detective Beal conducted a recorded interview with Angela Lee in his unmarked department vehicle, in the parking lot of 10801 West Charleston Boulevard, where Angela related the following:

Angela had been an Associate Attorney at Prince Law Group for four years. Angela arrived at the law office on April 8, 2024, at approximately 0900 hours. Upon arriving, Angela went to her office where she remained until the incident. At approximately 1000 hours, Angela heard gunshots followed by a female's voice scream something about a shooting. Angela hid underneath her desk and called 911. Per Angela's cellular telephone call log, her call to 911 was at 1003 hours and lasted 17 minutes. Angela described the cadence of the gunshots as several gunshots in quick succession initially followed by a short break then another gunshot followed by a short break then a final gunshot. Angela estimated she heard ten gunshots in total.

Angela remained in her office until uniformed police officers arrived and escorted her out of the building. Angela knew a deposition was scheduled in the Prince Law Group conference room at 1000 hours on April 8, 2024. The deposition was reference a child custody case involving Dennis Prince's step children. Angela was not overly familiar with the child custody case; however, she knew the case to be heavily litigated and contentious between the involved parties.

*For a complete record, refer to Angela Lee's transcription.*

### **Interview Amy Ebinger**

On April 8, 2024, at approximately 1213 hours, Detective Nahum conducted a recorded interview with Amy Ebinger. Amy related the following.

Amy worked for Dennis Prince as his personal legal assistant for approximately twenty (20) years. On April 8, 2024, she came into work early at approximately 0800 hours to prepare exhibits for a scheduled deposition with Katherine Houston. Amy knew the deposition was reference an ongoing custody dispute between Ashley Prince, and Dylan Houston. She explained Ashley was now married to Dennis Prince, who was also her attorney in the ongoing custody lawsuit and Dylan's attorney was his father, Joe Houston.

At approximately 1000 hours, all the parties scheduled to be at the deposition arrived. Joe and Katherine arrived with an unknown blonde female whom Amy did not recognize (later determined to be Lisa Rasmussen). The Houston's and Lisa, sat down in the conference room, and Amy placed the exhibits which were going to be talked about in the deposition on the table. Before the deposition began Dennis went into the conference room where it was going to take place and asked Joe to switch seats with him,

so Joe would have been sitting with his back to the lobby, and Dennis with his back to the north facing window. Amy recalled Dennis walked out of the conference room for a moment but returned with Shannon Wilson.

Amy walked back to her desk, and shortly after heard what she believed were eight (8) to ten (10) gunshots. Tania, a receptionist at the company, came to Amy's desk and told her Dennis was shot. Amy, Tania, and an attorney who works with them named Kevin all ran into an office and barricaded the door with furniture. Amy grabbed a telephone from the office and placed a phone call to 9-1-1. While Amy and her coworkers sheltered in place, she heard an additional volley of gunfire followed by footsteps, and one more gunshot. Amy and her coworkers sheltered in place until LVMPD Officers arrived on scene, and they were eventually evacuated.

When asked about her knowledge of the ongoing custody case, Amy stated she knew it was a contentious case which was heated due to the fact Joseph was representing his son, and Dennis was representing his new wife. Amy did not know of any physical threats made between them but stated there were many documented conversations in text and email between all parties involved which were very nasty and discourteous.

*For further information refer to the audio or transcription of Amy's interview.*

### **Interview Kevin Strong**

On April 8, 2024, Detective Beal conducted a recorded interview with Kevin Strong in his unmarked department vehicle, in the parking lot of 10801 West Charleston Boulevard, where Kevin related the following:

Kevin had been an Associate Attorney at Prince Law Group for nearly five years. Kevin arrived at the law office on April 8, 2024, at approximately 0945 hours. Upon arriving, Kevin rode the elevator up to the office with Ashley Prince. Ashley expressed general nervousness to Kevin about a deposition scheduled for 1000 hours. Kevin knew Dennis was litigating a child custody case reference Ashley's two children. Dennis had previously spoken to Kevin about the contentious child custody case and mentioned opposing counsel, Joseph Houston, called Dennis in the past and left him a voicemail. Dennis played the voicemail for Kevin. Kevin described the male's voice on the voicemail as apparently drunk and not threatening but menacing in his tone, discussing a specific child custody exchange that occurred.

Shortly after arriving at work, while Kevin was in his office, he heard gunshots. Kevin hid in the office until police arrived and escorted him out of the building. Kevin described the cadence of the gunshots as several gunshots in quick succession initially, followed by a short break then another gunshot followed by a short break then a final gunshot. Kevin estimated he heard eight gunshots total.

Kevin drew a diagram of the office layout and labeled each office by occupants' name.

*For a complete record, refer to Kevin Strong's transcription.*

### Interview with Dylan Houston and his Anthony De Martino

On April 8, 2024, Detectives Beal and Nahum conducted a recorded interview with Dylan Houston and his attorney, Anthony De Martino, inside of Dylan's home, located at [REDACTED] PRVCY [REDACTED] where Dylan related the following:

Dylan was married to Ashley from October of 2017 to November of 2021. Upon their uncontested divorce, Ashley and Dylan had split custody of their children. Around April of 2022, Ashley filed for primary physical custody of their child. Dylan first became aware Ashley was dating Dennis around July of 2022.

Dylan described his child custody case with Ashley as highly litigated and contentious. Dylan was represented by his father, Joseph Houston, and Ashley was represented by her new husband, Dennis Prince. Dylan described Ashley and Dennis making multiple allegations against him for being an [REDACTED] PRVCY [REDACTED] PRVCY [REDACTED] Dylan admitted at the onset of the child custody case he [REDACTED] PRVCY [REDACTED] PRVCY [REDACTED] Dylan admitted to [REDACTED] PRVCY [REDACTED] on the days he did not have custody of the children; however, denied [REDACTED] PRVCY [REDACTED] during his days with the children. Dylan stated Ashley and Dennis hired a private investigator through De Becker Investigations to follow him during the child custody case, which was documented in their court filings.

Dylan knew his father had been diagnosed with [REDACTED] MED [REDACTED] previously, however, Joseph underwent his final [REDACTED] MED [REDACTED] in December of 2023. Dylan was unaware of any terminal diagnosis for Joseph and implied he believed Joseph had not received any doctor's opinion of a finite amount of time to live.

Dylan last saw Joseph on April 7, 2024, when he and other family members met for breakfast. Dylan described breakfast as normal and did not recall Joseph making any statements or actions out of the ordinary. Dylan last spoke to his mother over the telephone during the evening of April 7, 2024, during which they discussed Katherine's general nervousness for the upcoming deposition.

During the morning of April 8, 2024, Dylan drove his children to school, then he went to an establishment to place a sports bet, then he returned home. At approximately 1030 hours, he received a telephone call from his sister's wife, who informed him there had been a shooting at the Prince Law Group and that Joseph was believed to be dead. Dylan retrieved his children from school and returned home.

Dylan claimed to have no knowledge of his father's intentions to murder Ashley or Dennis.

*For a complete record, refer to Dylan Houston's transcription.*

### Interview with [REDACTED] PRVCY [REDACTED]

On April 8, 2024, at approximately 1250 hours, Detective Wise and Detective Eddington conducted an audio recorded interview with [REDACTED] PRVCY [REDACTED] in the parking lot of 10801 West Charleston Boulevard. The following is a summary of the interview, and not verbatim, refer to the audio recording for completeness.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT  
CONTINUATION

Event #: LLV240400029029

PRVCY said that his current wife, PRVCY used to be married to Dennis Prince. Dennis and PRVCY had a daughter named PRVCY and son named PRVCY used to be Dennis' business partner, but PRVCY had bought him out. PRVCY said he does not talk to Dennis and that everything he hears about Dennis is third hand from PRVCY

On April 8, 2024, PRVCY said he got a call from PRVCY who was frantic, saying there had been a shooting at Dennis' law firm. PRVCY wanted PRVCY to go to the law firm and check on PRVCY who was employed at Dennis' law office. PRVCY said PRVCY was at school and not in the building at the time of the shooting.

PRVCY heard that Dennis was in a deposition with his wife, Ashley. PRVCY said he thinks Ashley's ex-husband (Dylan) was on the other side of the table with his father (Joseph) who is also a lawyer. Lately there had been fighting over kids and custody issues. PRVCY said he heard that Joseph took out a gun and shot Dennis.

NOTE: Through the investigation it was determined Dylan was not present at the deposition.

For a complete record, refer to PRVCY transcription.

## AUDIO RECORDING

On April 9, 2024, the LVMPD Digital Forensic Bureau digitally downloaded the audio files from the two Philips audio recorders recovered from the crime scene. Below is an approximate timeline of the pertinent events captured on the audio recorder. For a complete record, refer to the audio file transcription. **\*\*Times are approximate based on witness statements, video and audio surveillance, combined with CAD details\*\***

00:01 – Dennis - “Can you guys switch sides if you could? I want to sit on that side. Not to be difficult, I just prefer.”

\*Dennis asked Joseph, Katherine and Lisa to switch seats with him so he could sit with his back to the north\*

01:57 – Shannon – “How’s your Granddaughter?” You guys have a newborn Granddaughter, right?”

Kelly – “Not yet.”

Shannon - PRVCY

02:52 – Joseph - “Is Ashley here?”

Shannon - “I’m not sure if she’s coming, actually.”

05:04 – Joseph – “Before we start, are you guys ever going to stop trying to take the kids away from Dylan?”

05:10 – Dennis – “Ok, we’re ready.”

05:10 – Joseph – “Oh, yeah?”

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT  
CONTINUATION

Event #: LLV240400029029

05:11 – Dennis – “Are you ready for your depo? I’m ready to start.”

05:19 – \*Katherine is sworn in for the deposition\*

05:26 - \*Dennis began to ask Katherine questions regarding Dylan’s **PRVCY**

06:30 – Dennis – “During the course of the marriage would Ashley complain to you or...

06:32 – Katherine – “Ashley would...”

06:33 – Dennis – “Hang on, let me finish my question.”

06:35 - \*Four gunshots followed by continuous screaming\*

06:41 – Dennis – “Oh my god, I’m shot, I’m shot.”

06:50 - \*Two gunshots and continuous screaming\*

06:57 - \*Four gunshots\*

07:20 - \*A magazine was set down on the table\*

07:33 - \*A magazine is being taken out of the firearm\*

07:36 - \*The slide of the firearm was racked and a cartridge was ejected and landed on the table.

07:41 - \*A magazine was being inserted into the firearm\*

08:41 - \*The slide was racked on the firearm\*

08:43 - \*One gunshot is heard\*

11:19 - \*Police sirens could be heard\*

14:37 – Joseph - \*Inaudible\*

15:22 – Joseph – “One, two...” \*the final gunshot could be heard, followed by a squelching sound and a **PRVCY**

21:18 – \*LVMPD patrol officers arrived on the 5<sup>th</sup> floor and began to make announcements\*

**SEARCH WARRANT 7922 Blue Venice Court**

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT  
CONTINUATION

Event #: LLV240400029029

On April 8, 2024, at approximately 1050 hours, Homicide Detective Sergeant Dicaro obtained a search warrant from District Court Judge J. Bluth. The purpose of the search warrant was to search the property of 7922 Blue Venice Court, Las Vegas, Nevada 89117, where Joseph Houston resides.

Sergeant Dicaro along with homicide detectives Gatus, Hawkins, Milligan, Sioson, responded to 7922 Blue Venice to conduct a search of the residence. CSA Felabom also responded to photograph and document the scene.

Sergeant B. Bordon PN 7519 and Detective Guzman PN 13456 of the LVMPD Technical Surveillance Squad (TASS) and the LVMPD Swat Team (Blue Team) also responded to 7922 Blue Venice Court to assist with the execution of the search warrant.

Homicide Detectives Solano and Muenzenmeyer obtained the garage code (11847) from Katherine Houston and provided it to Detectives on scene, prior to the warrant service. The LVMPD SWAT Team was utilized to safely clear the premise of persons; none were located. TASS provided support by deploying a drone to further assist in clearing 7922 Blue Venice Court. At approximately 1600 hours the structure was cleared and turned over to Homicide Detectives. No damage was noted to the home.

CSA Felabom took overall photographs to show the location and condition of all associated items of evidence prior to being recovered. *Detective Gatus recovered/collected all the items.*

The home was a two-story structure which contained four bedrooms; one on the ground floor, three on the second floor. The home was clean and contained standard furnishings throughout.

In the kitchen, Sergeant Dicaro located a stack of papers on the north countertop. In the stack was medical paperwork addressed to Joseph Houston, dated March 22, 2024, which indicated a procedure was authorized for a [REDACTED] MED

**MED**

**MED**

Additionally, in the stack, was a note with the date and time of the deposition (when/where the murders occurred), an HOA coupon booklet with a note for "Dylan" as a reminder to make the payments, and a Post-it note with handwriting which provided "my apple phone code [REDACTED] PRVCY. These items were photographed only.

On the same counter, an Apple iPad, an audio recording device, and a folder with documents pertaining to the child custody matter were located. Additionally, on the kitchen table, centered in the room, was another packet of documents pertaining to the child custody matter.

On the ground floor, east bedroom, Detective Milligan located a laptop in a black carrying case near a file cabinet adjacent to the north wall. In the closet of the same room, Detective Hawkins located two GPS tracker devices, and an older looking Apple iPad.

In the family room, placed behind the couch near a pony wall, Detective Sioson located a stapled packet of documents pertaining to the child custody matter.

On the stairs leading up to the second level, Sergeant Dicaro located another packet of paperwork with documents pertaining to the child custody matter.

**CONTINUATION**Event #: LLV240400029029

On the second floor, at the top of the stairs was an office/gym in the open loft area, which was searched by Detective Sioson. In the top cabinet on the west wall was a stack of documents pertaining to the child custody matter. An Apple iPad (in its manufacturing box) was found in a desk drawer below. On the desktop were one Apple iMac computer and one Apple laptop. All noted items were collected.

On the second floor, south bedroom, Sergeant Dicaro located a large number of documents, all pertaining to the child custody matter. These items were found on top of two twin beds, on the floor and on a dresser. No other legal case work was found. All paperwork was collected.

On the second floor, west bedroom (master), Detective Hawkins located two (2) gun boxes and one (1) rifle (with case) under the bed. Both gun boxes were empty; one had identifiers for a Glock, model 23, .40 caliber, and bore SN: BGBR931, the second had identifiers for a Beretta, model 96FS, .40 caliber, but contained no serial number.

In the closet, Sergeant Dicaro located a green tactical vest with rifle magazine pouches. After consulting with Sergeant J. Patton, none of these items were collected, photographs only.

On the second floor, northeast bedroom, Detective Milligan located a gun cleaning kit, but appeared to never have been used; photographs only.

The second-floor southeast bedroom was searched, but no items of evidence were located.

Detective Milligan searched the garage and vehicles within the curtilage; one Toyota Tundra (driveway) and a convertible Mercedes sedan (garage). The only items to note were ammunition found in the garage storage closet (west wall) which included Blazer, Winchester and American Eagle, all .40 caliber ammunition, photographs only.

**ITEMS COLLECTED**

1. Apple iPad	Kitchen counter	Dicaro
2. Paperwork	Kitchen counter	Dicaro
3. Audio recorder	Kitchen counter	Dicaro
4. Paperwork	Kitchen table	Dicaro
5. Paperwork	Family room	Sioson
6. Paperwork	Staircase	Dicaro
7. Two (2) tracking devices	1 <sup>st</sup> floor east bedroom closet	Hawkins
8. Apple iPad	1 <sup>st</sup> floor east bedroom closet	Hawkins
9. Laptop (in black case)	1 <sup>st</sup> floor bedroom floor	Milligan
10. Paperwork	2 <sup>nd</sup> floor office/gym	Sioson
11. Apple iPad (in box)	2 <sup>nd</sup> floor office/gym	Sioson
12. Apple iMac	2 <sup>nd</sup> floor office/gym	Sioson
13. Apple laptop	2 <sup>nd</sup> floor office/gym	Sioson
14. Paperwork	2 <sup>nd</sup> floor south bedroom	Dicaro

Detective Gatus transferred all items of evidence to Detective Hawkins for transport to LVMPD Headquarters. Sergeant Dicaro secured the residence at approximately 1800 hours and all personnel left

the scene. Detective Hawkins transported the evidence to LVMPD Headquarters and transferred custody to Sergeant J. Patton.

### **10801 W. Charleston Video Surveillance**

On April 8, 2024, Detective Gorski recovered video surveillance from the City National Building located at 10801 West Charleston Boulevard. The video surveillance was motion activated and consisted of cameras located at the building front entrance, and elevator bays. Below is a description of relevant events:

On April 8, 2024, at 0950 hours, Joseph, Katherine, and Lisa arrived and entered the building. At approximately 0951 hours, Joseph, Katherine, and Lisa took the elevator to the fifth floor. At approximately 0951 hours, Joseph, Katherine, and Lisa exited the elevator on the fifth floor and walked out of camera view toward the Dennis Prince Law Office. At approximately 1003 hours, Katherine and Lisa entered the elevator lobby and appeared distraught and crying. Katherine and Lisa embraced for a moment and exit the camera view toward the Dennis Prince Law Office.

### **Documents Inside the Briefcase Bag**

On April 9, 2024, Detectives searched the contents of the briefcase bag belonging to Joseph Houston. Detectives discovered a handwritten note that read:

“In this life there is good and EVIL – I don’t know if I’m good – But I know you’re evil – Dylan is a great dad – When are you going to stop trying to take [REDACTED] away from him? When are you going to stop HARASSING the Houston family?”

Detectives also discovered a handwritten note that appeared to be talking points for the deposition. The note had topics to discuss such as describing Dylan as a father, statements [REDACTED] made about Dennis (unknown what those statements were), seatbelt issues, and issues related to Ashley not allowing the children to say hello even when all of them were at the same location for a recital.

### **Documents from 7922 Blue Venice Court**

On April 12, 2024, Detectives reviewed digital and hardcopy paperwork recovered during the execution of a search warrant at 7922 Blue Venice Court, Joseph Houston’s residence. Detectives found several documents related to the divorce and custody battle between Dylan and Ashley. Detectives did not find any evidence of the murder and no evidence anyone else had knowledge of the murder.

### **Search Warrants**

On April 11, 2024, at 1017 hours, Detectives received sign-in/sign-out logs from [REDACTED] via consent. The logs showed Dylan Houston signed [REDACTED] on April 8, 2024, at 0942 hours. Dylan signed the children out on April 8, 2024, at 1132 hours.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT  
CONTINUATION

Event #: LLV240400029029

On April 16, 2024, District Court Judge T. Jones signed a search warrant for the telephone number 702-596-9061. [REDACTED] LEP, EV

On April 16, 2024, District Court Judge T. Jones signed a search warrant for the iCloud account with the IMEI 356463108273038. [REDACTED] LEP, EV  
[REDACTED] LEP, EV

On April 16, 2024, District Court Judge T. Jones signed a search warrant for the Yahoo account [jwh7408@yahoo.com](mailto:jwh7408@yahoo.com). [REDACTED] LEP, EV  
[REDACTED] LEP, EV

Cell Phone Reviews

On April 14, 2024, the LVMPD Digital Investigations Bureau [REDACTED] LEP, EV  
[REDACTED] LEP, EV

This included [REDACTED] LEP, EV  
[REDACTED] LEP, EV, MED

On April 14, 2024, the LVMPD Digital Investigations Bureau [REDACTED] LEP, EV  
[REDACTED] LEP, EV

On April 14, 2024, the LVMPD Digital Investigations Bureau [REDACTED] LEP, EV  
[REDACTED] LEP, EV

Interview with [REDACTED] PRVCY

On April 17, 2024, Detective Nahum and Vensand conducted a recorded interview with [REDACTED] PRVCY [REDACTED] PRVCY a bartender at Wahoo's Taco. [REDACTED] PRVCY was a bartender that knew Dylan Houston as a regular customer.

On April 8, 2024, at approximately 1014 hours, [REDACTED] PRVCY received a text message from Dylan stating he went to Wahoo's to have breakfast with her but upon his arrival he learned [REDACTED] PRVCY had the day off. [REDACTED] PRVCY did not physically see Dylan on April 8, 2024.

Suncoast Video Surveillance

On April 18, 2024, Detective Nahum viewed and recovered video surveillance from the Suncoast Hotel Casino. The video surveillance corroborated Dylan's alibi around the time of the murder. The video

surveillance depicted Dylan Houston arriving at the Suncoast at approximately 09:58:36 hours and left at approximately 10:07:40 hours.

**Wahoo's Video Surveillance**

On April 19, 2024, Detective Nahum recovered video surveillance from Wahoo's Fish Taco, located at 1000 S. Rampart, Las Vegas, Nevada 89145. The video surveillance corroborated Dylan's alibi around the time of the murder. The video surveillance depicted Dylan Houston arriving at the Wahoo's Taco on April 8, 2024, at approximately 1013 hours. At approximately 1014 hours, Dylan exited Wahoo's Taco.

**Computer Reviews**

On April 19, 2024, the LVMPD Digital Investigations Bureau completed a digital download of the silver MacBook and Legion Laptop believed to belong to Joseph Houston. Detectives reviewed the contents of the laptops and found no evidence related to the murder. Detectives found no evidence to suggest the murder was planned. Detectives found no evidence to suggest that anyone else had knowledge of the murder.

LEP

LEP, EV

On April 23, 2024, Detectives received [REDACTED] LEP, EV

LEP, EV

LEP, EV

LEP, EV

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT  
CONTINUATION

Event #: LLV240400029029

On June 22, 2024, detectives completed a review of the Yahoo content belonging to Joseph Houston. The content included incoming and outgoing emails, search history, and account holder information. Detectives did not find any relevant evidence to the murder.

***\*\*Below is a comprehensive timeline related to the murder/suicide on April 8, 2024. Times are approximate as not every event had definitive documentation. Approximate times were based on CAD, recordings, and witness interviews\*\****

**April 8, 2024**

09:42:00 – Dylan dropped off [REDACTED] PRVCY  
09:58:36 – Dylan arrived at the Suncoast Hotel Casino.  
09:50:20 – Joseph, Katherine, and Lisa arrived at 10801 W. Charleston.  
09:51:04 – Joseph, Katherine, and Lisa arrived on the 5<sup>th</sup> floor.  
10:02:00 – Katherine is sworn into the deposition by Julia.  
10:03:16 – Joseph fired the first four gunshots, all of them directed at Dennis.  
10:03:31 – Joseph fired two gunshots.  
10:03:38 – Joseph fired four gunshots.  
10:03:40 – Katherine and Lisa entered the 5<sup>th</sup> floor elevator lobby. Both appeared to be distraught and crying.  
10:04:06 – 1<sup>st</sup> 911 call generated.  
10:04:23 – Joseph re-loads.  
10:04:56 – LVMPD CAD event created, and Patrol Officers went enroute.  
10:05:23 – Joseph racks the slide.  
10:05:25 – Joseph fired one gunshot.  
10:07:40 – Dylan left the Suncoast Hotel Casino  
10:08:11 – 1<sup>st</sup> Patrol Officer arrived.  
10:13:17 – Dylan Arrived at Wahoo's Fish Taco.  
10:14:00 – Dylan text [REDACTED] (Wahoo's bartender) asking to meet for breakfast at Wahoo's.  
10:14:39 - Dylan exited Wahoo's Taco.  
10:14:57 – Patrol Officers arrived on the 5<sup>th</sup> floor.  
10:22:14 – Joseph shot himself.  
11:32:00 – Dylan picked up [REDACTED] PRVCY

**Conclusion**

On April 8, 2024, Joseph Houston shot and killed Ashely and Dennis Prince and then shot and killed himself. Through the course of the investigation, it was determined Joseph acted alone, and no parties involved had any knowledge that Joseph was going to kill Ashley and Dennis Prince.

# PII

## Personal Identifying Information

The record(s) you seek contain personal identifying information.

NRS 239.001 provides that public records are open to inspection. However, NRS 239.010(1) expressly creates exemptions to the disclosure of records falling under various statutes, including NRS 239B.030. NRS 239B.030 makes “personal information” confidential. NRS 603A.040 defines “personal information” to include social security numbers, driver’s license numbers, account numbers, and the like.

Here, because the record(s) you seek contain confidential personal identifying information, they have been redacted.

# PRVCY

## Privacy Interests

The record(s) you seek contain information the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of a person's privacy interests.

In *Reno Newspapers v. Sheriff*, 126 Nev. 211, 218, 234 P.3d 922, 927 (2010), the Nevada Supreme Court recognized that an individual's privacy must be balanced with the public's general right to open government, "especially because private and personal information may be recorded in government files"). Later, in *Clark County Sch. Dist. v. Las Vegas Review-Journal*, -- Nev. --, 429 P.3d 313, 319-20 (2018), the Court adopted a balancing test in which the burden shifts to the requester of a record if the public agency demonstrates a "nontrivial personal privacy interest" including "intrusion[s] into a person's reasonable expectation of privacy, seclusion, or solitude." Privacy interests include information that may cause "embarrassment, shame, stigma, [or] harassment" or "endangerment, or similar harm." *Las Vegas Metro. Police Dep't v. Las Vegas Review-Journal*, 136 Nev. Ad. Op. 86, -- P.3d -- (2020). Medical information, personnel files, details about sexual orientation, and other information about a person's life give rise to privacy interests. *Clark County Office of the Coroner/Medical Examiner v. Las Vegas Review-Journal*, 136 Nev. Adv. Op. 5, -- P.3d -- (2020).

The Nevada Supreme Court equates the type of information that should be withheld under the Nevada Public Records Act with the common law tort of invasion of privacy. *Clark County Sch. Dist.*, *supra*, at 708, 549 P.3d at 320. "The tort of invasion of privacy embraces four different tort actions: '(a) unreasonable intrusion upon the seclusion of another; or (b) appropriation of the other's name or likeness; or (c) unreasonable publicity given to the other's

private life; or (d) publicity that unreasonably places the other in a false light before the public.” *Franchise Tax Bd. of Cal. v. Hyatt*, 133 Nev. 826, 842, 407 P.3d 717, 733 (2017), *overturned on other grounds by Franchise Tax Bd. v. Hyatt*, 139 S. Ct. 1485 (2019) *quoting* RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF TORTS § 652A (1977); *see also PETA v. Bobby Berosini, Ltd.*, 111 Nev. 615, 629, 895 P.2d 1269, 1278 (1995), *overruled on other grounds by City of Las Vegas Downtown Redev. Agency v. Hecht*, 113 Nev. 644, 650, 940 P.2d 134, 138 (1997).

Here, the record(s) you seek contain information the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of a person’s privacy interests. Therefore, they are confidential and must be withheld or redacted.

# LEP

## Law Enforcement Privilege

The record(s) you seek are law enforcement records that contain sensitive information.

A law enforcement agency may withhold records under the Nevada Public Records Act when its interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs the public's presumed right to access. *Reno Newspapers, Inc. v. Gibbons*, 127 Nev. 873, 880, 266 P.3d 623, 628 (2011). There is a presumption that records are not confidential, that exceptions must be narrowly construed, that redactions are preferred over withholding, and that the purpose of the Nevada Public Records Act is to facilitate government transparency. However, Nevada law and public policy recognize the importance of maintaining the integrity of law enforcement records. See, for example:

- NRS 179A.070 – 179A.100 (strictly regulating the dissemination of records of criminal history; in particular, there is no requirement to disseminate records of criminal history to the general public; moreover, records of criminal history are not public records pursuant to NRS 239.010(1) (listing statutes that are exempted from the Nevada Public Records Act, including NRS 179A.070).
- *Donrey v. Bradshaw*, 106 Nev. 630, 636, 798 P.2d 144, 148 (1990) (in a public records case, recognizing that law enforcement files could be confidential when pertaining to a “pending or anticipated criminal proceeding” or if there is a danger of “denying someone a fair trial” and concluding that records could be made public because there was “no pending or anticipated criminal proceeding; there [were] no confidential sources or investigative techniques to protect; there was no possibility of denying someone a fair trial; and there was no potential jeopardy to law enforcement personnel.” *Id.* at 636, 798 P.2d at 148.
- *Reno Newspapers v. Gibbons*, 127 Nev. 873, 878, 266 P.3d 623, 627 (2011). (recognizing that the balancing test first announced in *Donrey* had been modified by legislative changes to the Nevada Public Records Act, but nonetheless noting that the result in *Donrey* was “based on the facts that no criminal proceeding was pending or anticipated, no confidential sources or investigative techniques were contained in the

report, there was no possibility of denying anyone a fair trial, and disclosure did not jeopardize law enforcement personnel”).

- NRS 49.335 – 49.355 (making the identity of informants who provide information to law enforcement confidential until they testify).
- *Las Vegas Metro. Police Dep't v. Anderson (In re 12067 Oakland Hills, Las Vegas)*, 134 Nev. 799, 806, 435 P.3d 672, 678 (Nev. Ct. App. 2018) (noting that, generally, the police do not need to return evidence seized from its owner if the “property [is] related to an ongoing criminal investigation”).
- Att. Gen. Op. 83-3 (recognizing the “legitimate public policy interests in maintaining confidentiality of criminal investigation records and criminal reports, including records concerning subjects who have never been arrested”).
- Nev. Const., art. I, § 8A (Marsy’s Law, constitutionalizing victims’ rights to privacy, safety, and a diligent pursuit of justice).
- NRS 174.235 (making the disclosure of police files and evidence collected subject to strict discovery rules in open criminal prosecutions); see also *Tennessean v. Metro. Gov't of Nashville & Davidson Cty.*, 485 S.W.3d 857 (Tenn. 2016) (interpreting criminal rule of procedure similar to NRS 174.235 and holding that discovery of materials gathered by state for use in criminal prosecution may be obtained by defendant pursuant to rules of discovery, not by newspaper through a public records request); *Wilson v. Layne*, 526 U.S. 603, 119 S. Ct. 1692 (1999) (holding that when balancing a person’s Fourth Amendment right to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, probable cause may justify a *police* entry and seizure but it does not justify the *media’s* entry and/or seizure).
- NRS 179.045(4) (making search warrant applications, which regularly contain detailed facts gathered in open criminal investigations, confidential upon a showing of good cause).
- *In re Search Warrants Regarding Seizure of Documents*, 2023 WL 2861201 (Nev. Ct. App. 2023) (unpublished) (holding that good cause existed to keep search warrant application under seal because dissemination would threaten the integrity of an active and ongoing criminal investigation).
- NRS 172.245. Evidence and information obtained by grand juries during their investigations are confidential. The purposes of confidentiality include: (1) To prevent the escape of those whose indictment may be contemplated. (2) To insure the utmost freedom to the grand jury in its deliberations and to prevent persons subject to indictment, or their friends, from importuning the grand jurors. (3) To prevent subornation of perjury or tampering with the witnesses who may testify before the grand jury and later appear at the trial of those indicted by it. (4) To encourage free

and untrammelled disclosures by persons who have information with respect to the commission of crimes. (5) To protect an innocent accused, who is exonerated, from disclosure of the fact that he has been under investigation. *Shelby v. Sixth Judicial Dist. Court*, 82 Nev. 204, 210, 414 P.2d 942, 945 (1966).

- *Houston v. County of Maricopa*, — F.4th —, 2024 U.S. App. LEXIS 22564, 2024 WL 4048897 (9th Cir. Sep. 5, 2024) (holding that dissemination of a pretrial detainees' booking photo to the public is generally unconstitutional under the substantive due process clause of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment because it constitutes punishment without due process).

Given the totality of the law governing the disclosure of information concerning law enforcement records, the following non-exclusive factors are considered in evaluating whether law enforcement interests in confidentiality clearly outweigh the public's presumed interest in access:

- Whether protecting the integrity of the investigation better enables detectives and prosecutors to evaluate the credibility of any information that may come in the future. If a future witness were to know what other witnesses have said, or what other evidence has revealed, the future witness' statements will not be as reliable.
- Whether witnesses and informants have provided information that, if disclosed, could create danger or at least embarrassment to them.
- Whether any privacy interests and/or constitutional rights of any victims, witnesses, or subjects (including subjects never arrested) would be impacted by disclosure.
- Whether premature disclosure would have a chilling effect on future witnesses coming forward to provide information in this or other cases.
- Whether law enforcement officers have acted in an undercover capacity, or whether any witnesses have provided information as confidential informants.
- Whether disclosure would reveal confidential techniques or tactics that would risk enabling subjects to circumvent the law in the future.

In this case, after a careful examination of all factors, law enforcement's interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs the public's presumed interest in access. Therefore, the information you seek is confidential.

# MED

## Medical

The record(s) you seek are medical records protected by statute and which contain information the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of a person's privacy interests

Health care records may only be disseminated to specific individuals, including the patient or the patient's representative. See NRS 629.061(1) (requiring "custodians" of "health care records" to make the records available only in limited circumstances); see *also* subsection (7) (preventing use of records at any public hearing without the consent of the patient, unless procedures are used to protect the patient's identity).

Moreover, medical information gives rise to a privacy interest under Nevada common law. In *Clark County Sch. Dist. v. Las Vegas Review-Journal*, -- Nev. --, 429 P.3d 313, 319-20 (2018), the Nevada Supreme Court cited extensively to *Cameranesi v. U.S. Dep't of Defense*, 856 F.3d 626 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2017), which relies on federal Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Exemption 6. The Court had previously referred to FOIA exemptions on several occasions when construing the Nevada Public Records Act. See *Donrey v. Bradshaw*, 106 Nev. 630, 798 P.2d 144, fn. 4 (1990) (Exemption 7); *DR Partners v. Board of County Comm'rs*, 116 Nev. 616, 6 P.3d 465 (2000) (Exemption 5).

Exemption 6 is codified at 5 USC § 552(b)(6), which makes confidential "personnel and medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy."

In *Reno Newspapers v. Sheriff*, 126 Nev. 211, 218, 234 P.3d 922, 927 (2010), the Nevada Supreme Court recognized that an individual's privacy must be balanced with the public's general right to open government, "especially because private and personal information may be recorded in government

files”). Later, in *Clark County Sch. Dist. v. Las Vegas Review-Journal*, --- Nev. ---, 429 P.3d 313, 319-20 (2018), the Court adopted a balancing test in which the burden shifts to the requester of a record if the public agency demonstrates a “nontrivial personal privacy interest” including “intrusion[s] into a person’s reasonable expectation of privacy, seclusion, or solitude.” Privacy interests include information that may cause “embarrassment, shame, stigma, [or] harassment” or “endangerment, or similar harm.” *Las Vegas Metro. Police Dep’t v. Las Vegas Review-Journal*, 136 Nev. Ad. Op 86, --- P.3d --- (2020). Medical information, personnel files, details about sexual orientation, and other information about a person’s life give rise to privacy interests. *Clark County Office of the Coroner/Medical Examiner v. Las Vegas Review-Journal*, 136 Nev. Adv. Op. 5, --- P.3d --- (2020).

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (“HIPAA”), is a very strong indication that the law recognizes privacy interests in medical records. Indeed, the records you seek may very well contain “individually identifiable health information” transmitted to/from a “covered entity,” which is expressly confidential under HIPAA.

Here, the record(s) you seek contain information the disclosure of which is protected by statute and which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of a person’s privacy interests. Therefore, they are confidential and must be withheld or redacted.

EV

## Evidence

Your request is for evidence, not a public record.

The purpose of the Nevada Public Records Act “is to promote government transparency and accountability by facilitating public access to information regarding government activities.” *Pub. Employees' Ret. Sys. v. Reno Newspapers, Inc.*, 129 Nev. 833, 836-37, 313 P.3d 221, 223 (2013) (emphasis added). Therefore, “[t]he proper question for determining whether the requested records . . . constitute public records subject to disclosure under a public records request . . . is whether they concern ‘the provision of a public service.’” *Comstock Residents Ass'n v. Lyon Cty. Bd. of Comm'rs*, --- Nev. ---, 414 P.3d 318, 321 (Nev. 2018), quoting *Las Vegas Metro. Police Dep't v. Blackjack Bonding, Inc.*, 131 Nev. 80, 86, 343 P.3d 608, 613 (2015). In *Blackjack Bonding*, the Nevada Supreme Court relied heavily on the dictionary definition of “public service:”

“[P]ublic service” has been broadly defined as “a service rendered in the public interest.”

131 Nev. at 85, 343 P.3d at 612, quoting *Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary* 942 (10th ed. 2000) (emphasis added).

Notably, *Merriam-Webster's* defines “public record” as “a record required by law to be made and kept” or “a record made by a public officer or a government agency in the course of the performance of a duty.” <https://www.merriam-webster.com/legal/public%20record> (emphasis added). “Evidence,” on the other hand, is “[s]omething (including testimony, documents,

and tangible objects) that tends to prove or disprove the existence of an alleged fact.” BLACK’S LAW DICT., 7<sup>th</sup> ed. ab., at p. 457 (2000).

In *Blackjack Bonding*, the Court held that phone records from the county jail were public records because LVMPD needed them “for use in administrative and investigative purposes.” 131 Nev. at 82-83, 343 P.3d at 610. In *Comstock Residents*, the Court held that telephone and email communications of county commissioners were public records because the records involved “county business” and the “perform[ance] [of the commissioners’] duties as public servants.” 414 P.3d at 321.

Materials obtained from a third-party during a criminal investigation by legal process such as a warrant, subpoena or even consent are not public records – they are evidence. The government only possesses the evidence for the purpose of the criminal investigation. The evidence was not created by the government nor is it owned by the government. *Piedmont Publishing Co. v. City of Winston-Salem*, 434 S.E.2d 176, 177 (N.C. 1993) (holding that discovery of materials gathered by state for use in criminal prosecution may be obtained by defendant pursuant to rules of discovery, not by newspaper through a public records request); see also *Tennessean v. Metro. Gov't of Nashville & Davidson Cty.*, 485 S.W.3d 857 (Tenn. 2016) (same).

Moreover, providing evidence obtained through legal process, including consent, would exceed the authority granted either by the court or by the owner. See e.g., *Wilson v. Layne*, 526 U.S. 603, 119 S. Ct. 1692 (1999) (holding that when balancing a person’s Fourth Amendment right to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, probable cause may justify a *police* entry and seizure but it does not justify the *media’s* entry and/or seizure).